


A MAP OF SUPPLIED EVIDENCE

A clearer handoff

A fictional knowledge-map exercise. Nodes, sources and relationship claims are synthetic.

6 entities

6 relations



START WITH A BOUNDED QUESTION

Which handoff signals help a new reader choose the next action?

Inside this map

A small supplied set of fictional service-team observations and interpretation notes.


Outside this map

- No causal estimate is established.
- No document ingestion or automatic relationship extraction is performed.

Give every node a precise meaning.

ID / LABEL	KIND / CLUSTER	DEFINITION
scope / Explicit scope	concept / signals	A statement of which decision the handoff supports.
evidence / Observed evidence	observation / signals	Supplied records with their observation window.
owner / Named decision owner	context / decisions	The person asked to make the next bounded decision.
action / Next action	concept / decisions	A proposed step with a stated acceptance condition.
uncertainty / Visible uncertainty	concept / signals	The missing information that could alter the decision.
review / Reader review	method / decisions	A fictional review where a new reader explains the handoff.

Follow the stated connections.



Arrows express supplied relationships, not established causation. Isolated nodes: none.

Group by meaning, not proximity.

Signals in the handoff

signals

Information that a reader can inspect directly.

- scope · Explicit scope
- evidence · Observed evidence
- uncertainty · Visible uncertainty

Decision context

decisions

Interpretations and actions that need their own evidence.

- owner · Named decision owner
- action · Next action
- review · Reader review

Every edge has a paper trail.

ID	FROM → TO	RELATIONSHIP	SOURCES
scope-action	scope → action	context	protocol
evidence-action	evidence → action	supports	review-a
action-owner	action → owner	requires	protocol
uncertainty-action	uncertainty → action	contrasts	review-b
review-evidence	review → evidence	context	review-a
owner-review	owner → review	context	protocol

Read a chain without overstating it.

From scope to responsibility

scope → action → owner

Read this as the exercise's proposed decision chain, not evidence that the process improves outcomes.

From review to action

review → evidence → action

The record supports a bounded interpretation within one fictional review.

Zoom in on the relationship claim.

evidence → action

evidence-action supports

One fictional review connected supplied records with the selected action.

Sources: review-a

uncertainty → action

uncertainty-action contrasts

A second fictional reader questioned whether the evidence was complete enough.

Sources: review-b

Keep disagreement visible.

Enough evidence for the next action?

evidence-action / uncertainty-action

The fictional readers disagree about whether the supplied records are sufficient.

A USEFUL MAP INCLUDES ITS EDGES

What this graph cannot establish.

- Synthetic examples demonstrate structure, not research findings.
- The source set is small and intentionally bounded.
- Visual distance and line count are not measures of evidence strength.

Node position is a reading aid, not a strength score. The generator does not extract relationships from papers or verify source claims.

Turn gaps into answerable work.

ACTION	OWNER	EVIDENCE NEEDED
Ask another reader to explain the decision boundary.	Research facilitator	A supplied review transcript with its task and observation window.
Define what would resolve the disagreement.	Decision owner	An explicit acceptance condition for the next action.

Return to the original material.

protocol

Fictional handoff protocol · link not supplied

Synthetic protocol, section 2: scope and ownership.

review-a

Simulated reader review A · link not supplied

Invented observation note for this example, paragraph 3.

review-b

Simulated reader review B · link not supplied

Invented counterpoint for this example, paragraph 2.